

Corporate Directory

ASX Code: POS
Shares on Issue: 2,638M
Market Cap: ≈\$59M
Cash & equivalents at 31 Dec 2019
\$51.6M

Board of Directors

Non-Executive Chairman
Derek La Ferla

Non-Executive Directors
Geoffrey Brayshaw
Felicity Gooding
Karl Paganin

Managing Director & CEO
Peter Harold

CFO & Joint Company Secretary
Brendan Shalders

Joint Company Secretary
Andrea Betti

Key Shareholders

Black Mountain Metals: 20.8%
Squadron Resources: 17.1%

Key Operating Nickel Assets (100%)

Black Swan/Silver Swan
Lake Johnston
Windarra

Principal & Registered Office

Unit 8 Churchill Court
331-335 Hay Street
Subiaco 6008
Western Australia

T: +61 8 6167 660
F: +61 8 6167 6649

E: admin@poseidon-nickel.com.au
W: www.poseidon-nickel.com.au

NEW MASSIVE SULPHIDE INTERSECTION IN GOLDEN SWAN EM ANOMALY

26 MARCH 2020

HIGHLIGHTS

- **New massive Ni sulphide lode named “Golden Swan” intersected as predicted by EM anomaly within the Black Swan mineralised channel**
- **19.5m (11.5m true width) of highly mineralised komatiite including 2m massive Ni sulphides in PBS0029A from 739.5m down hole**
- **Assays prioritised and pending; and**
- **DHEM interpretations underway to assist further drilling**

Poseidon Nickel Managing Director/CEO Peter Harold commented “This is a very significant discovery in the context of Black Swan given it is only the second time significant massive sulphides have been intersected within the Black Swan disseminated channel since the discovery of the Gosling Lode in 1996. This major achievement is a testament to the efforts of our geological team and particularly our Chief Geologist, Steve Warriner, Neil Hutchison (Geological Consultant) and our geophysics consultants, Newexco. We are very much looking forward to seeing how this new discovery unfolds.”



Figure 1: Mineralised drill core from PBS0029A showing the channel base at the start of the core tray with incorporated felsic clasts and then massive sulphide formation

Poseidon Nickel (ASX: POS, “the Company”) is pleased to announce it has discovered high-tenor massive nickel sulphides in the first hole of a drill program designed to test a recently identified electromagnetic (EM) anomaly. The new lode has been named “Golden Swan” (see Figure 2).

Poseidon previously announced on 11 February 2020 (“106% Upgrade of Nickel Reserve at Silver Swan”) the Newexco-led identification of a large EM anomaly consistent with a massive sulphide EM response at the base of the Black Swan komatiite channel. The anomaly was located in a similar stratigraphic location as the Gosling Massive Sulphide orebody. The high-grade Gosling Operation produced 121,417t @ 4.4 %Ni.




Figure 2: Long section showing the current intersection in relation to the DHEM anomaly and the Black Swan channel. A “zone of potential” for Gosling repeats was identified by MPI but never fully tested.

Diamond drill hole PBS0029A intersected 19.5m (11.5m true width) of visual confirmation of nickel-bearing, highly mineralised komatiite including 2m of high-tenor massive sulphides between 739.5m and 759m downhole. The intersection is located near the very top of the Golden Swan anomaly which continues for around 150m below the current intersection. The core has been logged and sampled and is en route to the lab for priority analysis.

Details relating to the assessment of the estimate and proportions of mineral species present, has been deduced from visual confirmation from the drill core and is shown in Table 1 below.

m From	m To	Interval m	Geology	Sulphide species
731.4	739.55	8.15	Felsic footwall - silicified	
739.55	740.2	0.65	Komatiite basal chill with 7% visual stringer sulphides	See Note 1 below
740.2	743.65	3.45	Komatiite with >15% visual stringer sulphides	See Note 1 below
743.65	745.75	2.10	Massive sulphides 100%	See Note 1 below
745.75	747.75	2.00	Cumulate ultramafic with 20% visual blebby sulphides	See Note 1 below
747.75	750.25	2.50	Komatiite with trace cloud sulphides	See Note 1 below
750.25	759	8.75	Cumulate komatiite with 7% disseminated sulphides	See Note 1 below
		19.45	Total mineralised intersection	

Table 1: Profile of drill hole intersections, intervals, geology and mineral species present

Note 1. The sulphide mineral species identified and proportional estimate by category is:

Pyrrhotite	50%
Pentlandite	40%
Pyrite	10%

The above assessment of the estimate and proportions of mineral species present, has been deduced from visual confirmation from the drill core.

Note that visual estimates potentially provide no information regarding potential impurities or deleterious physical properties. Samples from the core are presently being taken for additional review and substantive assays. Result are currently expected within 10 days for separate disclosure.

Geological Explanation

Study of the drill core from the current intersection has revealed that the Golden Swan massive sulphides are occurring on the base of the Black Swan channel. This differs from the 1996 Gosling discovery in a significant way.

Gosling has been interpreted to have formed on a detached felsic raft within a large, dominantly disseminated sulphide orebody. The raft was dislocated from the channel base and gave the disseminated sulphides a “sheltered” surface on which to accumulate as massive sulphide, rather than continue being swept down the channel and remain suspended in the flowing lava.

The Golden Swan intersection reveals massive sulphides accumulating directly on the Black Swan channel floor which is underlain by an in-tact felsic footwall on its southern edge. The interpretation of this is that the Black Swan lava flow was widening and slowing down, allowing sulphides to naturally drop to the channel floor without requiring another intervention such as a felsic raft to induce settling. The slowing down would most likely be related to a widening of the channel for which there is good evidence. The

footwall felsic remains attached to the channel wall and forms the natural floor below the Black Swan komatiite flow.

The implications of this is that the extent of the massive sulphides at Golden Swan are not limited by the size of the transported felsic raft as they were at Gosling, but now by the size and topography of the larger, main channel floor. The footwall topography offers natural massive sulphide trap-sites as is observed 400m to the north at the high-grade Silver Swan deposit.

The successful 2019 drilling campaign (see ASX announcement “Successful Phase 1 Drilling Under Black Swan Open Pit”, 6 May 2019) intersected very wide, blebby sulphide mineralisation in PBSD001A, 223m @ 1.02%Ni and PBSD0002, 289m @ 0.62% Ni. Due to available drill positions the holes were angled along the width of the Black Swan channel, which provided the Company with key details on how wide the channel was at this point when it was formed. The current Golden Swan lode intersection lies ~45m to the west of PBSD002, revealing more information as to the height of the Black Swan channel mineralisation (see Figure 3). “Height” and “width” here refer to the original horizontal and vertical dimensions of the mineralised section of the lava channel during formation. The channel now runs vertically into the earth due to deformation.

Comparing this to the dimensions of the orebody in the open pit suggests that significant widening of the channel has taken place 900m “downstream”, which is congruent with flow slowing and the subsequent formation of massive sulphides from gravitational settling as seen in the core.




Figure 3: Company interpretation of the blebby mineralised envelope (from 2019) and the location of the Golden Swan massive sulphide

Future Drilling and EM Programs

Background: The 2019 DHEM survey of PBSD0002 managed and interpreted by Newexco, identified a large off-hole EM anomaly with a response consistent with massive sulphides. The anomaly is located 550m below and in the same stratigraphic location as the Gosling Massive Sulphide orebody. Gosling produced 121,417 t @ 4.4 %Ni.

Confirmation: The current (Stage 1) staged drilling and EM survey approach has been designed to enable a level of flexibility and multi-purpose outcomes; the now completed Stage 1 tested the DHEM anomaly and has confirmed its width, location and that it contains nickel.

Next Steps: Stage 2 will involve a second wedge hole to test the lower portion of the conductor and to provide information on continuity and dip for use in further geophysical modelling.

Further EM Surveying: Stage 3 - the parent hole used for Stages 1 and 2 will then be extended to 1000m in length (1300m below surface) and cased to allow for further DHEM assessment of the base of the Black Swan channel for massive sulphides as presented below in Figure 4.




Figure 4: Depicting the search DHEM radius around PBSD0029 in Stage 3




Figure 5: Webdrill diamond drill rig in the Gosling Access

Collar and survey details are presented in Table 2 below.

Hole ID	Easing	Northing	RL	Depth	Dip	Azimuth	Comment
PBSD0029	10173.8	11302.6	11012.0	0	-67.7	88.5	0029 EM hole
				325	-68.7	94.5	Start of 0029A
				422	-61.8	81.6	End of NAVI bend
				600	-60.5	90.6	
				795			EOH

Table 2: Hole Details

Comment in relation to COVID-19

Poseidon's management team has introduced a variety of protocols and measures in response to COVID19. The self-imposed restrictions may change on a regular basis and in response to changing government guidelines in respect to safe operating practices. As such planned operations and exploration programs and other on-site activities may be subject to change or unforeseen delays outside the Company's control.

This announcement has been authorised for release by the Board of Directors of Poseidon Nickel Limited



Peter Harold
Managing Director and CEO

For further information contact Peter Harold: + 61 (0)8 6167 6600.

About Poseidon Nickel Limited

Poseidon Nickel Limited (ASX: POS, "Poseidon"), is a West Australian focussed nickel company that owns three previously operating Nickel Sulphide mines: Windarra, Black Swan/Silver Swan and Lake Johnston. These 100% owned assets collectively had an operating capacity of 3.6mtpa (Lake Johnston 1.5mtpa; Black Swan 2.1mta). The processing facilities at Lake Johnston and Black Swan have been maintained through company managed, care and maintenance programs.

Poseidon released an upgrade to the resource at the Silver Swan deposit on 5th August 2019.

Poseidon is currently undertaking a number of de-risking and restart safety works and similar initiatives at and around Black Swan.

Poseidon has continued to explore at Lake Johnston, with recent diamond drilling at the Abi Rose prospect. These exploration results were released to ASX on 22 October 2018 and 21 November 2018.

Windarra has a number of near mine exploration projects including the extension of the original Windarra deposit, Cerberus, South Windarra and Woodline Well.

The current Resource Statement below shows a combined Nickel resource of 395,530 tonnes of Nickel (which should be read with the Competent Person statements below).

MINERAL RESOURCE STATEMENT

Table 1: Nickel Projects Mineral Resource Statement

Nickel Sulphide Resources	JORC Compliance	Cut Off Grade	MINERAL RESOURCE CATEGORY												
			INDICATED			INFERRED			TOTAL						
			Tonnes (Kt)	Ni% Grade	Ni Metal (t)	Tonnes (Kt)	Ni% Grade	Ni Metal (t)	Tonnes (Kt)	Ni% Grade	Ni Metal (t)	Co% Grade	Co Metal (t)	Cu% Grade	Cu Metal (t)
BLACK SWAN PROJECT															
Black Swan	2012	0.40%	9,600	0.68	65,000	21,100	0.54	114,000	30,700	0.58	179,000	0.01	4,200	NA	-
Silver Swan	2012	4.50%	108	9.4	10,130	61	9.7	5,900	168	9.5	16,030	0.19	316	0.4	679
LAKE JOHNSTON PROJECT															
Maggie Hays	2012	0.80%	2,600	1.60	41,900	900	1.17	10,100	3,500	1.49	52,000	0.05	1,800	0.10	3,400
WINDARRA PROJECT															
Mt Windarra	2012	0.90%	922	1.56	14,000	3,436	1.66	57,500	4,358	1.64	71,500	0.03	1,200	0.13	5,700
South Windarra	2004	0.80%	772	0.98	8,000	-	-	-	772	0.98	8,000	NA	-	NA	-
Cerberus	2004	0.75%	2,773	1.25	35,000	1,778	1.91	34,000	4,551	1.51	69,000	NA	-	0.08	3,600
TOTAL															
Total Ni, Co, Cu Resources	2004 & 2012		16,775	1.04	174,030	27,275	0.81	221,500	44,049	0.90	395,530	0.02	7,516	0.03	13,379

Note: totals may not sum exactly due to rounding. NA = information Not Available from reported resource model. The Indicated Mineral Resources are inclusive of those Mineral Resources modified to produce the Ore Reserves.

Black Swan Resource as at 22 July 2014 (see ASX announcement "Poseidon Announces Black Swan Mineral Resource" released 4th August 2014)

Silver Swan Resource as at 5 August 2019 (see ASX announcement "Silver Swan Resource Upgrade..." released 5th August 2019)

Maggie Hays Resource as at 17 March 2015 (see ASC announcement "50% Increase in Indicated Resources at Lake Johnston" released 17th March 2015)

Mt Windarra Resource as at t November 2014 (see ASX announcement "Poseidon Announces Revised Mt Windarra Resource" released 7th November 2014)

South Windarra and Cerberus Resource as at 30 April 2013 (see ASX announcement "Resource Increase of 25% at Windarra Nickel Project" released 1st December 2011)

The Company is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information in the relevant market announcements. All material assumptions and technical parameters underpinning the estimates in the relevant market announcements continue to apply and have not materially changed.

Table 2: Gold Tailings Project Mineral Resource Statement

Gold Tailings Resources	JORC Compliance	Cut Off Grade	MINERAL RESOURCE CATEGORY								
			INDICATED			INFERRED			TOTAL		
			Tonnes (Kt)	Grade (g/t)	Au (oz)	Tonnes (Kt)	Grade (g/t)	Au (oz)	Tonnes (Kt)	Grade (g/t)	Au (oz)
WINDARRA GOLD TAILINGS PROJECT											
Gold Tailings	2004	NA	11,000	0.52	183,000	-	-	-	11,000	0.52	183,000
TOTAL											
Total Au Resources	2004		11,000	0.52	183,000	-	-	-	11,000	0.52	183,000

Note: totals may not sum exactly due to rounding.

Windarra Gold Tailings Resource as at 30 April 2013 (see ASX announcement "Windarra Definitive Feasibility Study Supports Low Cost, Long Life Nickel Operation" released 30th April 2013).

The Company is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information in the relevant market announcements. All material assumptions and technical parameters underpinning the estimates in the relevant market announcements continue to apply and have not materially changed.

ORE RESERVE STATEMENT

Table 3: Nickel Projects Ore Reserve Statement

Nickel Sulphide Reserves	JORC Compliance	ORE RESERVE CATEGORY						
		PROBABLE						
		Tonnes (Kt)	Ni% Grade	Ni Metal (t)	Co% Grade	Co Metal (t)	Cu% Grade	Cu Metal (t)
SILVER SWAN PROJECT								
Silver Swan Underground	2012	57	5.79	3,300	0.11	60	0.26	150
Black Swan Open pit	2012	3,370	0.63	21,500	NA	NA	NA	NA
TOTAL								
Total Ni Reserves	2012	3,427	0.72	24,800	0.11	60	0.26	150

Note: Calculations have been rounded to the nearest 10,000 t of ore, 0.01 % Ni grade 100 t Ni metal and 10t of cobalt metal.

Co & Cu grades and metal content for Black Swan require additional modelling prior to estimation.

Silver Swan Underground Reserve as at 26 May 2017 (see ASX announcement “Silver Swan Definitive Feasibility Study” released 26th May 2017)
 Black Swan Open Pit Reserve as at 5 November 2014 (see ASX announcement “Poseidon Announces Black Swan Ore Reserve” dated 5th November 2014).

The Company is aware that the 2019 upgrade to the Silver Swan Indicated Resource will materially affect the Silver Swan Reserve above which was based upon the 2015 Silver Swan Resource Estimate (refer to Table 1 above for the new Silver Swan Resource estimate). Such information is based on the information compiled by the Company’s Geologists and the Competent Persons as listed below in the Competent Person Statements.

The Company is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information in the relevant market announcements for the Black Swan Open Pit Reserve. All material assumptions and technical parameters underpinning the estimates in the relevant market announcements continue to apply and have not materially changed.

COMPETENT PERSON STATEMENTS:

The information in this report that relates to Exploration Results is based on, and fairly represents, information compiled and reviewed by Mr Steve Warriner, Chief Geologist, who is a full-time employee at Poseidon Nickel, and is a Member of The Australian Institute of Geoscientists.

The information in this report which relates to the Black Swan Mineral Resource is based on, and fairly represents, information compiled by Mr Andrew Weeks who is a full-time employee of Golder Associates Pty Ltd. The information in this report which relates to the Black Swan Ore Reserve is based on, and fairly represents, information compiled by Mr Andrew Weeks who is a full-time employee of Golder Associates Pty Ltd and who is a Members of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy.

The information in this report which relates to the Silver Swan Mineral Resource is based on, and fairly represents, information compiled by Mr Steve Warriner, Chief Geologist, who is a full-time employee at Poseidon Nickel, and is a Member of The Australian Institute of Geoscientists and Mr Kahan Cervoj who is a full time employee of Optiro Pty Ltd and is a Fellow of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. The information in this report which relates to the Silver Swan Ore Reserve is based on, and fairly represents, information compiled by Mr Matthew Keenan who is a full-time employee of Entech Pty Ltd and is a Member of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy.

The information in this report which relates to the Lake Johnston Mineral Resource is based on, and fairly represents, information compiled by Mr Steve Warriner, Chief Geologist, who is a full-time employee at Poseidon Nickel, and is a Member of The Australian Institute of Geoscientists and Mr Andrew Weeks who is a full-time employee of Golder Associates Pty Ltd and is a Member of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. The information in this report which relates to the Lake Johnston Ore Reserves Project is based on, and fairly represents, information compiled by Mr Matthew Keenan who is a full time employee of Entech Pty Ltd and is a Member of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy.

The information in this report that relates to Mineral Resources at the Windarra Nickel Project and Gold Tailings Project is based on, and fairly represents, information compiled by Mr Steve Warriner, Chief Geologist, who is a full-time employee at Poseidon Nickel, and is a Member of The Australian Institute of Geoscientists and Mr Ian Glacken who is a full time employee of Optiro Pty Ltd and is a Fellow of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. The Windarra Project contains Mineral Resources which are reported under JORC 2004 Guidelines as there has been no Material Change or Re-estimation of the Mineral Resource since the introduction of the JORC 2012 Codes. Future estimations will be completed to JORC 2012 Guidelines.

Mr Warriner, Mr Cervoj, Mr Weeks, Mr Glacken and Mr Keenan all have sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposits under consideration and to the activity which they are undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves' (the JORC Code 2012). Mr Warriner, Mr Cervoj, Mr Weeks, Mr Glacken and Mr Keenan have consented to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.

The Australian Securities Exchange has not reviewed and does not accept responsibility for the accuracy or adequacy of this release.

FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENT – INFERRED RESOURCE STATEMENTS:

The Company notes that an Inferred Resource has a lower level of confidence than an Indicated Resource and that the JORC Codes, 2012 advises that to be an Inferred Resource it is reasonable to expect that the majority of the Inferred Resource would be upgraded to an Indicated Resource with continued exploration. Based on advice from relevant competent Persons, the Company has a high degree of confidence that the Inferred Resource for the Silver Swan deposit will upgrade to an Indicated Resource with further exploration work.

The Company believes it has a reasonable basis for making the forward looking statement in this announcement, including with respect to any production targets, based on the information contained in this announcement and in particular, the JORC Code, 2012 Mineral Resource for Silver Swan as of May 2016, together with independent geotechnical studies, determination of production targets, mine design and scheduling, metallurgical testwork, external commodity price and exchange rate forecasts and worldwide operating cost data.

FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS:

This release contains certain forward looking statements including nickel production targets. Often, but not always, forward looking statements can generally be identified by the use of forward looking words such as "may", "will", "except", "intend", "plan", "estimate", "anticipate", "continue", and "guidance", or other similar words and may include, without limitation, statements regarding plans, strategies and objectives of management, anticipated production and expected costs. Indications of, and guidance on future earnings, cash flows, costs, financial position and performance are also forward looking statements

Forward looking statements, opinions and estimates included in this announcement are based on assumptions and contingencies which are subject to change, without notice, as are statements about market and industry trends, which are based on interpretation of current market conditions. Forward looking statements are provided as a general guide only and should not be relied on as a guarantee of future performance.

Forward looking statements may be affected by a range of variables that could cause actual results or trends to differ materially. These variations, if materially adverse, may affect the timing or the feasibility and potential development of the Silver Swan underground mine.

BLACK SWAN EXPLORATION AND RESERVE ESTIMATE
SECTION 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	
<p><i>Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc.). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</i></p> <p><i>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</i></p> <p><i>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.</i></p> <p><i>In cases where ‘industry standard’ work has been done this would be relatively simple (e.g. ‘reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay’). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (e.g. submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</i></p>	<p>Reverse circulation and diamond drilling have been used to obtain samples. Sampling is a mixture of full core, half core, quarter core and chip sampling. Generally, 1 m samples or smaller have been used for exploration drilling, whilst grade control drilling in the Black Swan pit is on 2 m sample lengths.</p> <p>Samples have been obtained from drilling carried out on the tenements since 1968, incorporating several lease owners. Sampling protocols from drilling between 1968 and 1991 have not been well documented.</p> <p>Diamond drilling sampling protocol since 1995 has followed accepted industry practice for the time, with all mineralised core sampled and intervals selected by geologists to ensure samples did not cross geological or lithological contacts. Core was halved, with a half quartered, with one quarter core sent for assay, half core kept for metallurgical testing, and the remaining quarter core retained for geological reference.</p> <p>Samples from reverse circulation drilling were collected using cone splitters, with field splits taken every 20 samples.</p> <p>The underground RC technique utilises air with water injection to flush sample material from the rods and send it through a rotary cone splitter. Three duplicate samples are collected and 1 in 10 duplicates are submitted for analysis as a check and balance to sample representivity.</p>
Drilling techniques	
<p><i>Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc.) and details (e.g. core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc.).</i></p>	<p>Diamond and reverse circulation drilling are the primary methods by which drilling has been conducted.</p> <p>The majority of diamond core is NQ, the rest being HQ size. Core orientation was carried out using either spear marks or the Ezimark system.</p> <p>Surface RC drilling is limited to the extent of the Black Swan open pit.</p> <p>The underground RC system being trialled by Poseidon uses a combination of technologies to perform a wet RC function utilising an underground long-hole drill rig. The system has been trialled in gold mines with large nugget effect. This is the first application of this technique to nickel.</p>
Drill sample recovery	
<p><i>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</i></p> <p><i>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</i></p> <p><i>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</i></p>	<p>Core recovery and presentation has been documented as being good to excellent, with the exception of one hole used in the estimation, BSD189, which suffered significant core rotation, but little loss, within the oxide zone.</p> <p>Due to the good to excellent core recovery, Golder has no reason to believe that there is bias due to either sample recovery or loss/gain of fines.</p>
Logging	
<p><i>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</i></p> <p><i>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc.) photography.</i></p> <p><i>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</i></p>	<p>Much of the drill core has been oriented prior to the core being logged. Recent data was electronically captured and uploaded in to the site Acquire® geology SQL database.</p> <p>Golder has been provided with no record of core photography, nor the extent to which drilling was logged geologically.</p>
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	
<p><i>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</i></p> <p><i>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc. and</i></p>	<p>Early diamond core is assumed to have been chisel cut, whilst most core was cut using a core saw, with either half or quarter core used for sampling.</p>

JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<p><i>whether sampled wet or dry.</i></p> <p><i>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</i></p> <p><i>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</i></p> <p><i>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</i></p> <p><i>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</i></p>	<p>RC samples were collected by use of a cone splitter, with duplicates collected every 20 samples.</p> <p>Later resource and grade control drilling was crushed to <3 mm and then split to 3 kg lots, then pulverised. This is appropriate given the sample interval and mass.</p>
<p>Quality of assay data and laboratory tests</p>	
<p><i>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</i></p> <p><i>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc., the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</i></p> <p><i>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i></p>	<p>Pulps were prepared by acid digest and analysed by ICP-OES using standard laboratory practices. Both independent and laboratory internal QAQC were used.</p> <p>Site specific standards were derived from two RC drill holes specifically designed for the purpose and prepared by ORE Pty Ltd in Melbourne. Analysis for these standards was for Ni, As, Fe and Mg.</p> <p>For RC grade control drilling, blank samples were inserted 1 in 50 and 1 in 19 samples as standard.</p> <p>Standard samples have a well-defined margin of error suitable for the deposit.</p> <p>No external laboratory checks were conducted for exploration drill samples.</p>
<p>Verification of sampling and assaying</p>	
<p><i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i></p> <p><i>The use of twinned holes.</i></p> <p><i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i></p> <p><i>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</i></p>	<p>Logging and assay data is electronically captured and up loaded in to the company SQL database.</p>
<p>Location of data points</p>	
<p><i>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</i></p> <p><i>Specification of the grid system used.</i></p> <p><i>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</i></p>	<p>All collar surveys were completed to an accuracy of ±10 mm. A local grid based on seven known AMG references was created. The Department of Land Information (formerly the Department of Land Administration) benchmark U051 on the Yarri Road opposite 14 Mile Dam was used to tie the survey control stations to the Australian Height Datum (AHD). A height datum of AHD + 1000 m was adopted for the Black Swan project.</p> <p>All Black Swan diamond drill holes up to 2008 have been routinely surveyed—generally every 30 m or less. In the case of the some early drill holes, however, only the hole dip component was measured, using the acid vial method. All subsequent diamond drill holes have been surveyed using Eastman single shot down hole survey instruments.</p> <p>All Poseidon drilling has been surveyed using the latest gyro equipment to ensure accuracy of downhole data locations. Collar locations underground at Silver Swan have been measured off the old mine plans and are within 30cm of actual.</p>
<p>Data spacing and distribution</p>	
<p><i>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</i></p> <p><i>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</i></p> <p><i>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</i></p>	<p>Surface drilling used a spacing of 20 m to 50 m across strike and approximately 50 m along strike.</p> <p>In pit drilling is on a 10 m by 10 m staggered pattern.</p> <p>Underground drill data was also used in the estimate.</p> <p>Sample data was composited to 2 m.</p>
<p>Orientation of data in relation to geological structure</p>	
<p><i>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</i></p> <p><i>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</i></p>	<p>Drill hole orientation was dominantly perpendicular to geological continuity and befits the requirements of resource estimation.</p>

JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sample security	
<i>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</i>	There are no documented details available for sample security.
Audits or reviews	
<i>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</i>	Examination of duplicate, blank and standard data does not highlight any material bias or systematic error.

Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results (Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Section 2: Reporting of Exploration Results

Mineral Tenement and Land Tenure Status

Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.

Black Swan open-pit is centred on M27/39 and extends into M27/200. Silver Swan is wholly located on M27/200. They are located 42.5km NE of Kalgoorlie. They are registered to Poseidon Nickel Atlantis Operations Pty Ltd, a wholly owned subsidiary of Poseidon Nickel Ltd, following the purchase of the assets.

The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.

Historical royalties of 3% NSR exist over the minerals produced.

Exploration Done by Other Parties

Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.

The Black Swan Disseminated Resource has been explored by MPI / Lion Ore and Norilsk Nickel. Both companies followed best practise and Poseidon has validated all data handed over as a part of the purchase. Only minor errors have been found and corrected.

It has recently been noted by Newexco that previous DHEM surveys have had poorer performance that was expected at the time. Therefore their effectiveness has been found also to be poor and mineralisation such as Golden Swan may have been missed.

Geology

Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.

Black Swan, Silver Swan and Cygnet are just 3 mineralised Kambalda Style komatiite lava flows is a larger complex containing many other komatiite flows. The komatiites were deposited onto a dominantly felsic substrate. Silver Swan formed massive nickel sulphides, Cygnet formed matrix to disseminated massive sulphides and Black Swan, until now, was dominated by disseminated sulphides.

Drill Hole Information

A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:

*eastings and northing of the drill hole collar
elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar
dip and azimuth of the hole
down hole length and interception depth
hole length.*

Refer to the body of the announcement above.

If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.

Data Aggregation Methods

In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.

Grades have been aggregated using the length x SG weighted average.

Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.

See body of text for individual sample grades.

The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.

Relationship Between Mineralisation Widths and Intercept Lengths

These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.

True widths are stated where necessary.

If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.

If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known').

Diagrams

<p><i>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</i></p>	<p>Refer to the body of text above.</p>
<p>Balanced Reporting</p>	
<p><i>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</i></p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>
<p>Other Substantive Exploration Data</p>	
<p><i>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</i></p>	<p>Refer to body of text above.</p>
<p>Further work</p>	
<p><i>The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</i></p> <p><i>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</i></p>	<p>Refer to body of text above.</p>